



## Review questionnaire

### ACTA SCIENTIARUM POLONORUM

#### Oeconomia

Title: .....

Please give your opinion on the following fields by marking the proper answer:

**1. General value of the work.**

- a) It brings new values.
- b) It is a valuable contribution.
- c) Proves the existing theories.
- d) Does not cover the profile of ACTA

**2. Methodology**

- a) Suitable
- b) Not properly presented
- c) Not suitable

**3. Research data**

- a) Sufficient
- b) Not sufficient

**4. Statistical study**

- a) Dispensable
- b) Adequate
- c) Can be accepted after taking the reviewer's remarks into consideration
- d) Missed although necessary or wrong presumptions

**5. Graphical material**

- a) Quality and quantity suitable
- b) Quality of figure no. .... not suitable
- c) Needs to be improved
- d) Not suitable

**6. Tables and figures**

- a) Suitable
- b) Need to be improved
- c) Not suitable or not sufficient

**7. Research results interpretation**

- a) Suitable
- b) Can be accepted after taking the reviewer's remarks into consideration
- c) Not suitable

**8. Quoted literature**

- a) Presented suitably
- b) Presented against the publishing standards for Authors
- c) Not complete, important publications missing
- d) Selected not suitably

**9. Units of measure**

- a) Suitable (SI system)
- b) Not suitable

**10. Abstract**

- a) Correct
- b) Does not present the core of the paper
- c) Needs a radical change

**11. Opinion on the lingual side of the work**

- a) Good
- b) Needs a little improvement
- c) Needs a radical change

**General opinion on the evaluated paper and suggestions on publishing in ACTA**

- a) Very good - should be accepted and published
- b) Good – should be accepted and published after taking the reviewer’s suggestions into consideration
- c) Can be accepted after radical change
- d) Weak – should not be published in ACTA

**COMMENTS**

Reviewer’s signature

**Remarks:**

Scientific title and name of the reviewer: